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Abstract

Athletic status effects classmate's perception of intelligence, diligence, and academic achievement for college students. This study will examine how involvement in sports affects the perception of academic success. The participants will view a picture of a college student who will be classified as either an athlete that plays sports in college or non-athlete that doesn't play sports in college. Then the participants will answer a short questionnaire rating whether or not they perceive the individual to be academically successful. Individuals who play sports in college will be rated lower academically against those who do not play sports in college. This study will provide support for whether or not students perceive involvement in sports to be associated with academic success.
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Perception of Student Athletes Among Peers

 Playing sports in college can give students pleasure and satisfaction, but they can even provide some students with a free education, which are both very attractive and understandable reasons for pursuing such an activity. Playing sports can teach students teamwork, discipline, resilience, perseverance, how to play by the rules, and how to accept outcomes one may not like (Emerson, Brooks, & McKenzie, 2009). By this student athletes should be able to apply this in the classroom. However, there is a low positive relationship with academic achievement and the image of being an athlete (Eksi, 2011). One study also believed college athletes would show low levels of academic achievement and success (Melendez, 2006). This was assumed to be true towards non-white college students since they believed their results would be lower than the white students (Melendez, 2006). What they found was the exact opposite. While this study provides information against racial stereotypes that are associated with sports and academics, this proposal is more curious about whether or not our fellow students are aware of this trend.

 In Mickey C. Melendez's study of "The Influence Of Athletic Participation On The College Adjustment Of Freshman And Sophomore Student Athletes", all participants will complete questionnaires assessing background demographics, athletic status, and college adjustment (Melendez, 2006). 300 questionnaires were distributed to student athletes and student non-athletes from four predominately White universities (Melendez, 2006). The athletes were at a Division 1 level and were recruited through coaches and administrators that were interested in the study. The non-athletes were recruited through undergraduate classes and residence halls with the approval of the Department of Residential Life (Melendez, 2006). The results found significant difference between athletes and non-athletes and discovered that athletes scored higher in academic adjustment. In the issue of sex and ethnicity, they found that minority females reported higher academic adjustment scores than their peers (Melendez, 2006). This study does not support that athletes may be perceived to be less academically successful since they found that athletes had higher adjustment scores than non-athletes.

 Another study by John Emerson questions the academic performance of student athletes who are at the NCAA Division 3 level. What he believes that might be an important confounding variable is that high-intensity, narrowly focused athletic programs can distort the experiences of student athletes and threaten the educational missions of colleges and universities (Emerson, Brooks, & McKenzie, 2009). Emerson collects his data from the College Sports Project (CSP) which is developed to be a long term process. Since you cannot access either a student's or an athlete's GPA, Emerson required demographic questions and added them with the athlete's/non-athlete's high school achievements (SATs and ACTs) and their college experience (how much they participated in sports and what their class status was) to create a predictable GPA. From that Emerson would subtract the differences from each other. What Emerson found was that there was a significant academic underperformance in athletes who were recruited to play sports compared to athletes that were not recruited to play sports. In fact, athletes that were not recruited did just as well as non-athletes (Emerson, Brooks, & McKenzie, 2009). This study supports the hypothesis that non-athletes are perceived to be more academically successful.

 Aside from the previous studies that have been discussed, another study by Joy Gayles focuses on Division 1 athletes because of their recent accusations about low graduation rates, gross misconduct, academic scandals, and student athletes leaving higher education institutions in poor academic standing (Gayles, & Hu, 2009). High profile athletes, such as football and basketball players, also might have trouble in deciding majors since college might not be a top priority because evidence showed the degree aspirations significantly dropped while those of other student-athletes did not change (Leppel, 2005). However, instead of comparing high and low profile athletes merely on their non-athletic counterparts, they will be measured on their interaction with their non-athletic peers and their engagement as a student (Gayles, & Hu, 2009). Unfortunately, the athletes who play in the high profile sports such as Division 1 football and men's basketball had low scores of engagement and cultural attitudes compared to those in low profile sports (Gayles, & Hu, 2009). However this can create hope for change because this means that the interaction with other students is positively and significantly related to student positive cultural attitudes such as gender, race/ethnicity, sport, and academic major (Gayles, & Hu, 2009). This supports the hypothesis that non-athletes are perceived to be more academically successful just as much as the last study because it explains how athletes are dependent on their non-athletic counterparts to help them succeed in school since their athletic apparently hinder their ability to excel off the game field.

**Method**

**Participants**

 Participants will include at least 50 students (25 males, 25 females, age range = 18-20) from a small public university in Southern Virginia. The participants will be recruited from an online signup system. The study will give them one point in extra credit for the class as a compensation.

**Materials and Procedures**

The students will be seated and shown a picture of a college student, on a projector screen, wearing casual clothes and a backpack. One group will be told that the picture of the student plays sports on campus and for the University. The other group will be told that the student is not involved in any sporting activity on campus. The independent variable will have two levels and that is whether the participants will see the picture of an athlete or a non-athlete. An Athlete is someone who belongs to a college affiliated sports team (Club, Intramural, etc) during the semester or longer. A Non-Athlete is not involved in an any college affiliated sports during the semester. After the participants are told the description of the person in the picture, they will be asked to answer five demographic questions on a sheet of paper. The questions will be answered on a five point Likert scale from strongly disagree to strongly agree. The dependent variable will be how the participants rate the student to be academically successful. The differences will be measured between males and females in the Athlete Group and the difference between males and females in the Non-Athlete group.

**Results**

The student who plays sports in college will be perceived to be less successful, academically, than the student who does not play in sports in college. The analysis used for the data will be an independent T.

**Discussion**

 The hypothesis states that the student athlete will be rated academically lower than the student who does not participate in any sport. Research has shown that in order to provide support for the student athletes underperformance in college, researchers look to their non-athletic counterpart and measure the difference. Each student has the ability to succeed and to do well in the classroom, it's about time management. A non-athlete is not smarter than an athlete, he/she simply has more time to commit to studies. The relationship between sports participation and academic outcomes is more complicated than a one-dimensional causal relationship (Videon, 2002). Confounding variables such as long hours of practice and commitment to the team are understandable reasons that can hold the athlete back and produce lower than expected grades.

 To better this experiment, the survey would ask for deeper demographic questions that would not be too personal, but would easily identify each participant as an athlete or a non-athlete to measure how well they perceive themselves and the other group. Also, so that participants would not pass through my experiment carelessly. Adding and collecting more pictures of real college students and create a slideshow would make the questionnaire more real. A larger sample size would benefit the study because their needs to be more participants that are athletes so that they could provide their insight.



*Figure 1.* This picture will be shown to each participant in the Athlete and Non-Athlete group.

Photo retrieved from www.google.com
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Appendix A

Demographic Information

Name: Class Rank: (circle one) Freshman / Sophomore / Junior / Senior

Age: Sex: (circle one) M / F

Appendix B

Background Information of the Athlete

 Tyler is a sophomore in college and is finally getting adjusted to the new college life. John has been on the basketball team since last year and now plays intramural soccer and football. While he can still manage a steady social life, his sports and placement in the gym seem to fill up his whole week.

Appendix C

Background Information of the Non-Athlete

 Tyler is a sophomore in college and is finally getting adjusted to the new college life. Tyler has the opportunity to be more involved for his university, but doesn't want it to take away from his own free time. Tyler also does not participate in any sport activity on campus.

Appendix D

Questionnaire

1) Does Tyler have good grades?

 1 2 3 4 5

 Not at all No Neutral Yes Definitely

2) Do you think Tyler has enough time for school?

 1 2 3 4 5

 Not at all No Neutral Yes Definitely

3) Do you think Tyler is too distracted from school?

 1 2 3 4 5

 Not at all No Neutral Yes Definitely

4) Is Tyler a kind of guy you would ask for help on an assignment?

 1 2 3 4 5

 Not at all No Neutral Yes Definitely

5) Can you rely on Tyler to do his part of the work?

 1 2 3 4 5

 Not at all No Neutral Yes Definitely
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**DESCRIPTION OF RESEARCH**

Title of Research: **Perception of Student Athletes Among Peers**

1. Purpose of Research: The goal of this research is **to determine whether students perceive participation in sports to be associated with academic achievement**. The research is being conducted as a **proposal for Research Methods**, under the supervision of  **Dr. Buchert**
2. Methods and Procedures:
3. Participants: Participants will be Longwood University students who agree to voluntarily participate in the research. The purpose of the research will be explained to the students and they will be asked to participate with the provision that they are free to withdraw at any time without penalty.
4. Procedures:  Participants will be randomly placed into two groups. One group will view a picture of a student and be told that the student plays sports. The other group will view the same picture and be told that the student does not play sports. Both groups will be asked a short questionnaire after viewing the picture. The participants will rate the athlete or non-athlete on a Likert-scale.
5. Possible Risks: It is anticipated that participants will be at no physical, psychological, or emotional risk at any time during the research. Nor is it anticipated that participation in the research will place the participants at any risk of criminal or civil liability, or damage the participants' financial standing or employability.

              Assurance of Anonymity and Confidentiality: Participants will be informed of the voluntary and confidential nature of the research via instructions on the data collection instrument. Participants will also be instructed not to put their name or any identifying information on the instrument. When collecting data from participants, the researcher will immediately place the data in a large envelope, and will not examine any of the data until all data have been collected. Once collected, the raw data will only be accessible to **Benjamin N. Baker and Dr. Buchert**. In the event that any information provided by a participant should become known outside the research, it is unlikely that any harm would come to the participant.
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**Benjamin N. Baker**

* I understand that my participation in this research is voluntary, and that I am free to withdraw my consent at any time and to discontinue participation in this project without penalty.
* I acknowledge that the general purpose of this study, the procedures to be followed, and the expected duration of my participation have been explained to me.
* I acknowledge that I have the opportunity to obtain information regarding this research project, and that any questions I have will be answered to my full satisfaction.
* I understand that no information will be presented which will identify me as the subject of this study unless I give my permission in writing.
* I acknowledge that I have read and fully understand this consent form. I sign it freely and voluntarily.  A copy of this form will be given to me.

Name (Print): \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Date: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_        Signed: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

I understand that if I have concerns or complaints about my treatment in this study, I am encouraged to contact the Office of Academic Affairs at Longwood University at (434) 395-2010.

 Opening Statement: Hello, my name is Benjamin Baker. The title of this study is Perception of Student Athletes Among Peers. You will view a photo of a college student and then asked to answer a brief questionnaire. Please do not flip the questionnaire until I say so. If at any point in this study you feel uncomfortable, you did sign a consent form and you are free to leave whenever.

 Debriefing Statement: You were in the Athlete / Non-Athlete group. You were told that the male in the picture was an athlete / non-athlete and asked to rate the individual on whether or not he has been perceived to be academically successful. Please do not discuss the nature of this experiment and you are free to leave. Email me for information about the results at the next poster session. Thanks and have a wonderful day!