

1 JOHN R. CONTOS, ESQ. (Bar No. 56782)  
2 **CONTOS & BUNCH**  
3 **A Professional Corporation**  
4 200 North Westlake Boulevard, Suite 204  
5 Westlake Village, California 91362  
6 Telephone: (818) 707-8887  
7 Fax: (818) 707-8884

8 **Attorneys for Plaintiffs** ROBERT MANDLER, ANTHONY MANDLER,  
9 MARISA MANDLER and ELIZABETH MANDLER

10 **SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**  
11 **FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES**

12 ROBERT MANDLER, ANTHONY ) CASE NO. BC373475  
13 MANDLER, MARISA MANDLER and )  
14 ELIZABETH MANDLER, ) [Hon. William F. Fahey]  
15 Plaintiffs, )  
16 vs. ) **PLAINTIFFS' MEDIATION STATEMENT**  
17 SIMON LO, M.D., EDWARD )  
18 FELDMAN, M.D., JEFFREY ) DATE: November 24, 2008  
19 CONKLIN, M.D., ROBERT McKENNA, ) TIME: 9:00 a.m.  
20 M.D., EDWARD PHILLIPS, M.D., )  
21 ALLAN METZGER, M.D., CEDARS ) MEDIATOR: **Harrison Sommer, Esq.**  
22 SINAI MEDICAL CENTER, and DOES )  
23 1 through 100, inclusive, )  
24 Defendants. ) Trial Date: 1/26/09  
25 )  
26 )  
27 )  
28 )

29 **PARTIES**

30 Plaintiffs **ROBERT MANDLER,**  
31 **ANTHONY MANDLER, MARISA**  
32 **MANDLER and ELIZABETH MANDLER**

33 Defendant **ALLAN METZGER, M.D.**

34 Defendant, **EDWARD FELDMAN, M.D.**

35 **COUNSEL**

36 John R. Contos  
37 CONTOS & BUNCH  
38 A Professional Corporation

39 Thomas M. O'Neil  
40 BONNE, BRIDGES, MUELLER, O'KEEFE  
41 & NICHOLS

42 Christopher P. Wend  
43 LA FOLLETTE, JOHNSON, DE HAAS

1 Defendant, **EDWARD PHILLIPS,**  
2 **M.D. and SIMON LO, M.D.**

Ken Pivo  
PIVO, HALBREICH, MARTIN, WILSON  
& AMO

3 Defendant, **BOSTON SCIENTIFIC**

Matthew L. Marshall  
MORRIS, POLICH & PURDY

4 Under Arbitration Agreement as a  
5 separate action:  
6 Defendant, **Robert McKenna**

Thomas F. McAndrews  
REBACK, MCANDREWS & KJAR

7  
8  
9 **STATEMENT OF THE CASE:**

10 This claim arises from the death of Nancy Sackett  
11 Mandler, a 57 year old mother of three and the wife of plaintiff,  
12 Robert Mandler, who was a successful television screenwriter and  
13 a professor at both USC School of Cinematics and the American  
14 Film Institute.

15 For several years prior to her death Mrs. Mandler  
16 suffered from a non-specific, auto-immune collagen-vascular  
17 disorder for which she was under medical care by defendants.

18 In **July, 2004**, she suffered iatrogenic perforation of  
19 the esophagus by defendant Dr. Robert McKenna, during a surgery  
20 to treat esophageal dysfunction. As a result, Dr. McKenna placed  
21 an Ultraflex stent, manufactured by defendant Boston Scientific,  
22 in Mrs. Mandler's esophagus. Boston Scientific has taken the  
23 position that Dr. McKenna placed the wrong type of stent, one not  
24 intended for removal and he should have known that when he  
25 attempted to remove the stent three months later, he would be  
26 unable to do so.

27 Over the next eighteen months defendants, Dr. Robert  
28 McKenna and Dr. Simon Lo, performed **seven unsuccessful surgical**  
**procedures** attempting to remove the Ultraflex stent.

1           As a result of the perforation and the succession of  
2     surgeries to remove tissue and the stent, Mrs. Mandler developed  
3     an **aorto-esophageal fistula**, which is a direct communication  
4     between the esophagus and aorta, a well-recognized and life-  
5     threatening complication of esophageal stents. Symptoms include  
6     hematemesis, difficulty swallowing and chest pain. Despite these  
7     hallmark symptoms, defendants failed recognize and diagnose an  
8     aortic fistula.

9           When hospitalized for hematemesis on March 27, 2006, for  
10    **five days**, under the care of defendants Feldman, Lo and  
11    Phillips, **no diagnostic studies were ordered or performed to**  
12    **determine the source of bleeding or the condition of the**  
13    **esophagus**. No ultrasound, upper GI, CT, MRI or angiography was  
14    performed, even though Mrs. Mandler required nineteen units of  
15    blood products in the first 4 days of admission and 24 units in  
16    her last four hours of life.

17           Plaintiffs also contend that the defendant physicians  
18    involved in Mrs. Mandler's care did not have the requisite  
19    expertise in esophageal motility disorders and surgery, resulting  
20    in an incorrect diagnosis, the incorrect treatment, iatrogenic  
21    esophageal perforation, use of the incorrect stent, incorrect  
22    positioning of the stent which could not be removed, followed by  
23    repeated and unsuccessful attempts to remove the stent, resulting  
24    in repetitive trauma and ultimately the development of a aortic  
25    fistula which defendants failed to recognize.

26           Defendants proceeded with an esophagectomy, unprepared  
27    for aortic repair, without placing Mrs. Mandler on cardiac  
28    bypass, without the equipment and devices necessary to effect

1 repair of the aortic fistula and without a cardiovascular surgeon  
2 present in the operating suite. The aorta ruptured, Mrs. Mandler  
3 exanguinated and died within fourteen minutes.

4  
5 **HISTORY OF ESOPHAGEAL DISEASE AND MEDICAL CARE:**

6 For five years prior to her death, Mrs. Mandler was  
7 seen by physicians for lethargy and sporadic aching, swelling,  
8 inflammation in her rib cage, left eye, nose, finger, neck,  
9 shoulders and legs.

10 In **September, 2002**, while under the care of defendant,  
11 Dr. Alan Metzger, Mrs. Mandler was referred to a  
12 rheumatologist, Dr. Louie, at UCLA. Dr. Louie felt that, based  
13 on her symptoms, elevated ESR and a positive rheumatoid factor,  
14 she had features of an autoimmune disease collagen-vascular  
15 disease, Relapsing Polychondritis, but could not confirm the  
16 diagnosis without biopsy. She was prescribed immunosuppressive  
17 therapy with Prednisone and Methotrexate.

18 In **March, 2003**, Mrs. Mandler developed severe  
19 respiratory symptoms of hoarseness and a severe cough, felt to be  
20 Methotrexate lung toxicity, after which the Methotrexate was  
21 discontinued and the Prednisone was tapered to prevent the  
22 deleterious effects of chronic steroid use.

23 Although her respiratory condition improved  
24 dramatically during the next twelve months, Mrs. Mandler  
25 developed gastric reflux and esophageal dysmotility for which Dr.  
26 Metzger referred her to defendant, Edward Feldman, M.D., a  
27 gastroenterologist.

28 **Diagnosis of Esophagael Motility Disorders:**



Standard diagnostic procedures are necessary to differentiate between symptoms and causes of various digestive diseases and to plan treatment. In Mrs. Mandler's case, the GI workup by defendants Feldman and McKenna, although incomplete, was completely negative for evidence of disease, reflux or Achalasia and the only finding was increased tone of the LES.

**Standard Diagnostic Procedures**

**GI workup of Nancy Mandler:**

1) an upper GI series, esophagogram and esophagoscopy with biopsy.

1) **July 26, 2004: Upper GI and Small Bowel Study:** showed a normal esophagus, without ulcerations or erosion, mesenteric thickening. Findings of Dr. Hamlin indicated that although a contracted LES suggested possible Acalasia, the motor activity in the body of the esophagus mitigates against this diagnosis. He noted a small hiatal hernia, without reflux.

**July 30, 2004: GI endoscopy and biopsy:** both gross and microscopic examination was negative for inflammation, atypia, dysplasia, atrophy, metaplasia, neoplasia, malignancy, bacterial or parasitic disease. There was no evidence of erosion, ulcerations or vascular abnormalities.

2) A Bernstein test (to determine if chest or back pain is coming from the esophagus or acid reflux)

2) Not Done

3) Breath Test-Breath-glucose and lactulose

3) Not done until 12-5-05; positive for bacteria.

4) 24 hour pH monitoring. Ambulatory 24-hour pH monitoring is the criterion standard in establishing a diagnosis of GERD - gastroesophageal reflux disease with 96% accuracy.

4) Not Done. The extent and severity of gastric reflux, as well as the effect of anti-reflux medications, cannot be determined in the absence of this test.

5) Esophageal manometry/Esophageal Function Test

5) **July 26, 2004: An Esophageal Function Test** indicated increased tone of the LES, but normal motility of the esophagus

6) 24-hour Esophageal Manometry

6) Not Done

1 7) Electrogastrography, used to  
2 diagnose and study stomach rhythm  
as a cause of nausea.

7) Not Done

3 8) Endoscopic ultrasound

8) **August 11, 2004: Endoscopy**  
Mature squamous cells with  
fragments of smooth muscle,  
negative for atypia

4  
5 9) **Pharmacologic therapy** with  
6 anticholinergics, calcium channel  
7 blockers, vasodilators,  
anxiolytics, injections of  
8 botulinin toxin

9) Not Done

9  
10 **Achalasia**

11 Presumed by defendants to be causing Mrs. Mandler's  
12 symptoms, Achalasia is a predominantly neuropathologic process  
13 causing loss of nerve cells from the esophagus at the lower  
14 esophageal sphincter (LES), resulting in failure of the LES to  
15 completely relax, with progressive inability to swallow solids  
16 and liquids.

17 In patients with true Achalasia, mucosal changes due to  
18 chronic irritation and food stagnation include erythema, friable  
19 mucosa, ulceration, and candidal infection. The LES is closed  
20 tightly and does not open with air insufflation, but the  
21 endoscope can pass into the stomach with gentle mechanical  
22 pressure.

23 **Treatment Options of LES dysfunction include:**

24 1) Drug therapy with Anticholinergics, calcium channel  
25 blockers, vasodilators, anxiolytics, injections of botulinin  
26 toxin;

27 2) pneumatic dilation of the esophagus at least twice  
28 and if repeated dilation is unsuccessful,

1                   3) surgical intervention, known as

2                   a) Heller Myotomy, a procedure which severs  
3 muscles at the gastroesophageal junction, allowing the valve  
4 between the esophagus and stomach to remain open. This procedure  
5 also causes unremitting gastric reflux as the esophagael  
6 sphincter is patulous and unable to effectively close. Therefore,

7                   b) fundoplication (the gastric fundus, upper  
8 portion of the stomach is wrapped, or plicated, around the  
9 inferior part of the esophagus and stitched in place, reinforcing  
10 the closing function of the lower esophageal sphincter, and

11                  c) pyloroplasty - usually unnecessary -(opening of the  
12 end of the pylorus in the lower portion of the stomach, so that  
13 stomach contents can empty into the duodenum (small intestine).

14                  Defendants also failed to consider that esophageal  
15 motility disorders are common among patients with anxiety and  
16 depressive disorders. Contraction abnormalities reflect a  
17 functional motor impairment, which can be related to the effect  
18 of psychiatric medications. Upper endoscopy is usually normal in  
19 these patients, as was Nancy Mandler's. Defendants did not  
20 investigate the causal effect of psychiatric medications prior to  
21 proceeding with surgical intervention.

22                  LES tension was the only objective finding, based on  
23 diagnostic testing performed by defendants, the cause of which  
24 was probably pharmacologic. Even if psychiatric medications were  
25 not the cause of the LES dysfunction, proceeding with a Heller  
26 Myotomy was, at the very least, premature, and probably was not  
27 only unnecessary, but caused the cascade of medical complications  
28 that led to the death of Nancy Mandler.

1           It should be noted that her upper GI symptoms were not  
2 longstanding and there was no objective or destructive evidence  
3 of disease, such as erosions, atypical cells, inflammation or  
4 other pathology that justified the performance of a surgical  
5 procedure that had small, but significant inherent risks.

6           She was not described as malnourished, she had not  
7 sustained any significant weight loss and none of the  
8 conservative measures of treatment, such as medication,  
9 biofeedback, speech therapy for swallowing rehabilitation, diet  
10 changes or other non-invasive were ever attempted by defendants.  
11 It cannot be refuted that proceeding with surgical intervention,  
12 without first exhausting conservative treatment, in a patient  
13 with a paucity of objective evidence of disease was below the  
14 standard of care.

15           Notwithstanding these facts, in **August, 2004**, Mrs.  
16 Mandler was referred to defendant, Robert McKenna, M.D., for  
17 evaluation and treatment of presumed Achalasia. Dr. McKenna  
18 noted that Mrs. Mandler's symptoms were not typical Achalsia but  
19 felt she had "ill-defined collagen vascular disease." He  
20 recommended a Heller Myotomy and a biopsy for diagnosis of  
21 collagen vascular disease which was performed on **August 18, 2004**.

22  
23           **The esophagael wall biopsy was negative for atypia or**  
24 **inflammation, negative for epithelium and all immune reactants**  
25 **were negative in all locations**, based on the pathology report,  
26 dated August 24, 2004.

27           Plaintiffs' experts will testify that defendants  
28 Feldman and McKenna were negligent in their diagnosis and care of

1 Nancy Mandler prior to and including the performance of a Heller  
2 Myotomy on August 18, 2004, that the diagnosis of Achalasia was  
3 incorrect, the performance of a Heller Myotomy was unnecessary  
4 and that the perforation which occurred as a result of that  
5 procedure was a significant factor leading to her death on March  
6 31, 2006.

7 **Perforation of the Esophagus**

8 Two days after discharge Nancy Mandler developed  
9 fevers and severe left-sided chest pain. A barium swallow study  
10 showed a leak in the distal esophagus. A thoracotomy, performed  
11 by Dr. McKenna, found 800cc of murky fluid with barium. A 2mm  
12 opening in distal esophagus was found at myotomy site, which was  
13 sutured, but continued to leak. A CT showed a subphrenic  
14 abscess.

15 Dr. McKenna excised the perforation, creating an  
16 elliptical incision, sutured and placed an intercostal muscle  
17 over the area.

18 A repeat barium swallow showed recurrent leak.  
19 Although attempting a second repair and consulting an esophagael  
20 surgeon would have been a reasonable next step, Dr. McKenna  
21 elected to perform an esophagael stent placement, using a Boston  
22 Scientific Ultraflex stent, by laparotomy with a feeding  
23 jejunostomy and gastrostomy.

24 Defendant Boston Scientific is expected to present  
25 expert testimony that the Ultraflex stent, which was uncovered on  
26 its distal and proximal ends, was intended for palliative use in  
27 patients with terminal malignancies of the esophagus. This stent  
28 was not intended for temporary use in the treatment of benign

1 (non-malignant) conditions.

2           However, a review of the literature indicates that the  
3 Ultraflex stent has been used for treatment of esophagael  
4 perforations, strictures and other benign conditions. However,  
5 because the ends are uncovered, tissue ingrowth will occur if the  
6 stent is not removed shortly after placement. Therefore,  
7 monitoring of the patient's esophagus and the development of  
8 inflammation or tissue growth is critical if stent removal is  
9 planned.

10           Drs. McKenna and Feldman took no steps to monitor Mrs.  
11 Mandler's esophagus for ingrowth of the stent at any time after  
12 placement of the stent, until its attempted removal in December,  
13 2004.

14 Post-esophagael perforation:

15           Following placement of the stent for perforation of the  
16 esophagus, Mrs. Mandler required a tracheostomy and bronchoscopy  
17 with lavage and she developed ARDS, Acute Respiratory Distress  
18 Syndrome, with respiratory failure, hypoxemia, with diffuse  
19 alveolar damage. She remained hospitalized through mid-November,  
20 2004, and was discharged with a gastric tube in place.

21 **December 8, 2004:** Dr. McKenna attempted removal of the stent via  
22 flexible esophagoscopy. His findings indicate a noral appearing  
23 proximal esophagus. The stent was located in the distal 7cm, it  
24 *was not attached on the right side and the right lateral wall of*  
25 *esoph was densely adherent on the L side.* Multiple attempts were  
26 made to free the stent. The distal 3cm of stent was removed as  
27 it tore loose from main stent.

28 **December 9, 2004:** An esophagram was performed which showed normal

1 peristalsis in upper 2/3 of the esophagus, but on repeated  
2 swallow **a punctate spot of barium was seen outside esophagus,**  
3 **immediately lateral to the upper portion of the esophageal graft**  
4 **on the left, and pulsated with heartbeat, consistent with**  
5 **mediastinal location.**

6 On **February 8, 2005**, Mrs. Mandler was seen by Dr. Feldman. He  
7 noted she complained of mouth burning, substernal epigastric  
8 burning, that she could not lay flat and slept or rested on a  
9 wedge. Her appetite was decreased, she was able to swallow but  
10 she was very unhappy about the stent placement. Dr. Feldman  
11 noted most of her symptoms were related to the stent. He  
12 discussed her available options, because the stent could not be  
13 removed intact, perhaps they could try an esophagoscopy to pull  
14 the pieces apart or do esophagectomy. She was to consider these  
15 options.

16 **April, 2005:** When seen by Dr. Feldman, Mrs. Mandler experienced  
17 rare dysphagia (difficulty swallowing), had occasional left upper  
18 quadrant cramps and dark drainage from the G-tube site.

19 **July 29, 2005:** an upper GI showed prominent reflux throughout  
20 stent.

21 **October 20, 2005:** Mrs. Mandler was seen by Jeffrey Conklin, M.D.  
22 an esophagael specialist at Cedars-Sinai. At the time of this  
23 exam, Mrs. Mandler had epigastric and left upper quadrant pain or  
24 tenderness that was constant, worse by wearing tight clothes and  
25 worse in certain positions. She also had a band-like pain from  
26 lower back to lower ribs anteriorly, worse with belching or  
27 hiccoughs. She complained of awakening with a burning tongue,  
28 had prominent symptoms of heartburn and acid reflux, but under

1 felt it was under control with pump inhibitors. She had a new  
2 complaint of regurgitation, usually after meals, and vomiting of  
3 brown mucous when she bent over. **Experts will testify this was**  
4 **likely evidence of bleeding into the stomach from the esophagus.**

5 On examination it tenderness and an area of  
6 hyperesthesia was noted over the lower thorax, reproducible with  
7 flinching to light touch. The greatest pain was a small area  
8 just below ribs over rectus muscle, with diffuse tenderness of  
9 abd wall.

10 A recent Upper GI showed narrowing of the stent and a  
11 CT of chest and abd revealed a stent with thickening of the wall  
12 of the esophagus. Dr. Conklin indicated Ms. Mandler's pain was  
13 neuropathic involving innervation of the diaphragm, likely  
14 producing hiccoughs. He noted the CT had not identified  
15 pathological process causing this, but symptoms were likely the  
16 result of the stent, making the entire lower esophageal sphincter  
17 entirely incompetent and that her problems with gastric emptying  
18 were due to a combination of achalasia and vagal nerve injury.

19 He recommended endoscopy to see if stent was widely  
20 patent and to look for signs of inflammatory injury.

21 **November 3, 2005:** Dr. McKenna performed removal of the  
22 tracheostomy and esophagoscopy and lavage to evaluate stent and  
23 clear the stent.

24 **November 22, 2005:** An upper endoscopy was performed by Dr. Lo and  
25 Dr. Conklin. The upper esophagus was normal and the Ultraflex  
26 stent was located in distal 6-8 cm of esophagus. The top  
27 expanded portion of the stent was fully embedded in esophagus.  
28 1 cm below top edge, the mesh was exposed on one side and a mass



1 appeared to be mechanically altering and protruding through a  
2 part of the mesh. Ulcerations noted under that part of the  
3 stent. The Distal portion of stent had a luminal caliber of 12-  
4 13mm and the lower end of stent had flipped backward on itself  
5 into area of GE junction and gastric folds were noted to prolapse  
6 back into esophageal stent lumen.

7 **December 6, 2005:** Mrs. Mandler was seen by Dr. Conklin. He noted  
8 that recent endoscopy revealed the stent to be permeated and  
9 encased by esophagael tissue. The esophagael mucosa and wall were  
10 ulcerated over part of the stent indicating significant acid  
11 reflux. A recent gastric emptying study demonstrated delayed  
12 emptying and lactulose hydrogen breath test revealed small bowel  
13 bacterial overgrowth. He noted the significant trauma to the  
14 mediastinum had probably caused traumatic vagotomy and the  
15 symptoms of nausea and motility dysfunction were likely caused by  
16 damage to the vagal nerve.

17 **January 10, 2006:** Dr. Lo performed another upper endoscopy,  
18 attempting to remove the stent with Argon Plasma therapy (gas  
19 with electrocoagulation). The stent was treated with Argon Plasma  
20 quite extensively, but only partial destruction of the stent was  
21 made and the procedure terminated. He found a 1.2cm opening in  
22 the lower esophagus and ulceration, surrounded by "lumpy, bumpy  
23 tissue with a net-like appearance consistent with tissue growing  
24 through stent, this in spite of patient supposedly having a  
25 covered Ultraflex stent. Below the gastroesophagael junction the  
26 stent was folded up backwards and extended into stomach 2-3cm  
27 with the gastric wall touching the stent. The stent element was  
28 cauterized but only several wires were cut. He noted it was not

1 possible to cut all wire elements to allow endoscopic removal.  
2 Forceps were used to grab stent to move it, causing stent to flip  
3 back to natural position, but doubling the length of stent  
4 protruding into stomach. He was unable to trim the stent but  
5 tissue was cauterized in the middle of the stent.

6 **January 20, 2006:** Dr. Lo performed an esophagogastroduodenoscopy  
7 and again attempted removal of stent with argon plasma therapy.  
8 Half of the stent was found protruding into stomach. The stent  
9 was treated with argon plasma and olympus wire cutters were used  
10 to cut the stent circumferentially.

1 **February 28, 2006:** Mrs. Mandler was seen by Dr. Feldman who noted  
2 she suffered from spasms, dysphagia, she was barely able to  
3 tolerate any solids and her intake was mostly full liquids. She  
4 complained of increased emesis that was unpredictable and  
5 occasionally awakened regurgitating.

6 **March 16, 2006:** Mrs. Feldman was sent to the Emergency Room by  
7 Dr. Feldman for evaluation of hematemesis. She had been found to  
8 have moderately severe anemia with HGB of 7.4 (normal=11.6-  
9 15.4) indicating she had lost a significant volume of blood due to  
10 internal bleeding from the stent. She was lightheaded and dizzy,  
11 with melanotic stools. She was admitted and received  
12 transfusions.

13 **March 17, 2006:** An upper endoscopy was performed by Dr. Lo for  
14 stricture dilation, polypectomy, argon plasma coagulation therapy  
15 and **to identify the source of bleeding.** Nodular tissue impeding  
16 endoscope passage was removed and cauterized with Argon.

17  
18 Again, the upper esophagus was normal but the lower  
19 lumen was narrow in a shelf-like fashion and despite balloon  
20 dilation at 12mm, the endoscope could not pass, although  
21 hemorrhagic tissue was seen beyond that point. A pediatric  
22 endoscope was passed through. No perforation was noted, nodular  
23 tissue was protruding from one side of the inlet and beyond 34cm  
24 the lumen was wider. It appeared that bleeding came from the top  
25 part of stricture site. The esophagus was dilated to 13.5, a  
26 snare was used to remove polypoid reactive tissue. Oozing was  
27 noted with filaments of the stent identified. The area was  
28 cauterized with argon and re-dilated to 15mm. The mucosal lining

1 was cauterized until hemostasis was achieved.

2 Experts will testify that the aggressive nature of this  
3 procedure, extensive cauterization with trauma from stent  
4 manipulation exacerbated the ulcerations and fistula formation.  
5 Despite the significant blood loss, no CT, MRI, angiography or  
6 ultrasound exam was performed to identify the source of bleeding,  
7 which could not be explained by oozing seen in the esophagus and  
8 to rule out fistula formation. The pain and hematemesis were  
9 hallmark symptoms of fistula formation that went unrecognized by  
10 defendants. Had the fistula been identified during this  
11 hospitalization, Mrs. Mandler could have undergone vascular  
12 surgery with grafting or a Blakemore tube to repair the defect  
13 and esophagectomy before her condition became unstable. Failure  
14 to recognize the symptoms of a fistula, given the history of  
15 perforation, abscess formation, stent placement and perform  
16 testing necessary to diagnose a fistula was below the standard of  
17 care and a significant factor leading to her death.

18  
19 Despite the ominous symptoms of pain and hematemesis,  
20 Mrs. Mandler was discharged on March 21 without any further  
21 diagnostic procedures.

22  
23 **March 27, 2006:** Mrs. Mandler was admitted to Cedars by ambulance  
24 for multiple episodes of gross hematemesis. She received  
25 transfusions and was admitted.

26 She was seen by Dr. Feldman on March 28, 2006, who  
27 noted she complained of "gnawing epigastric pain." His admission  
28 History and Physical Examination indicates her gastrointestinal

1 bleeding is "presumably from esophageal erosions." He consulted  
2 with defendant Dr. Simon Lo and a decision was made to perform  
3 another endoscopy to reexamine the site of the recent surgery.

4           There were no further episodes of hematemesis on March  
5 27 and 28.

6  
7 **March 28, 2006:** At 8:30 p.m. Dr. Lo performed another esophagael  
8 gastroduodenoscopy. His report indicates he found "lumpy-bumpy  
9 changes, bleeding in the general area. The distal 5mm was smooth,  
10 slightly narrow and there was tissue covering the straight part  
11 of the old Ultraflex stent." Dr. Lo removed additional  
12 hypertrophic tissue and noted an area of diffuse bleeding without  
13 any definite pinpoint area and again cauterized the tissue with  
14 argon plasma. He apparently consulted with Dr. Feldman and a  
15 **decision was made to place a second removable, totally covered**  
16 **stent to stop bleeding, provide tamponade and reduce acid washing**  
17 **of the area.**

18  
19           No diagnostic studies were ordered.

20           The chart indicates Mrs. Mandler had **seven** episodes of  
21 hematemesis between Dr. Lo's procedure on the evening of March 28  
22 and the morning of March 29, 2006.

23  
24 **Dr. Phillips**

25           On **March 29, 2006**, defendant, Dr. Phillips was asked to  
26 evaluate Mrs. Mandler regarding a possible esophagectomy with  
27 colon interposition. A consult by Dr. Phillips following an  
28 examination of Mrs. Mandler notes she continued to bleed at a

1 low rate, **indicating he was unaware of the seven episodes of**  
2 **hematemesis in the previous ten hours.** Dr. Phillips recommended  
3 an esophagectomy with colon interposition, a procedure in which  
4 the esophagus is removed and replaced by a piece of colon.  
5 Preparation for this procedure would include a mesenteric  
6 angiogram, if not done recently, **also indicating he was**  
7 **unfamiliar with her history,** pulmonary function studies and a  
8 colonoscopy. He indicated this procedure could be done  
9 electively.  
10

11 Although the dictated report bears the name of Dr.  
12 Phillips as its author, a chart entry, dated March 29, 2006,  
13 indicates it was Dr. Lyass who reviewed the chart, examined the  
14 patient and dictated the consult. Likewise, chart entries on  
15 March 30 and 31 indicate "Surgery for Phillips" signed by Dr.  
16 Lyass. In fact, Dr. Phillips did not sign a single order or  
17 progress note in Mrs. Mandler's chart.  
18

19 In response to discovery requests, Dr. Phillips  
20 produced text messages which document communications he had  
21 regarding Mrs. Mandler. These messages document that he had  
22 delegated Mrs. Mandler's care to a surgical resident, Dr. Sergey  
23 Lyass on **March 29, 2006 at 12:16 p.m.**  
24

25 "1.5 years ago wife of chin chin owner. Achalasia.

26 **McKenna perforated.** Stented which helped. Continuous

27 problem as stent is embedded. More problem with pain

28 and swallowing. Fulgurated. Last night scope - cut lumen

1 through hypertrophied tissue. Put coated stent in. Still bleeding."

2 **30 hours later:**

3  
4 March 30, 2006, 6:09 p.m. -, Dr. Phillips inquires via text  
5 message to Dr. Lyass:

6 "How's esoph bleeder?"

7  
8 March 30, 2006, at 18:21:17 (6:21pm) Dr. Lyass responds:

9 "Just vomited blood. Getting blood I asked to call Lo  
10 if he wants to do something. Any thoughts?"

11  
12 March 30, 2006, 8:21 p.m. (Two hours later) Dr. Phillips responds:

13 "did you get my last email re her Hct and stability?"

14 Are Surgery residents involved. Is she in ICU? Ed."

15  
16 March 31, 2006 13:41:53 (1:41pm) (16+ hours later) Dr. Lyass'  
17 nurse Christina Kim sends a text to Phillips:

18 "Large bleed and patient almost code and unresponsive.

19 Please call x34356- Dr. Miasaki ."

20 Dr. Miyasaki is an intern.

21  
22 March 31, 2006 2:29 pm, (another hour) Phillips to Dr. Clark  
23 Fuller and McKenna:

24  
25 "She's in the 7<sup>th</sup> floor sap room 58. She's definitely  
26 needing surgery and I'd like one of you to come by.

27 Maybe you guys can start and I'll come in as  
28

1                   **I'm starting a case 7<sup>th</sup> floor room 1 now. Ed."** (Emphasis added)

2                   **March 31, 2006 2:31 pm**, Phillips to Dr. Simon Lo:

3  
4                   **"I'm taking her to surgery today. Ed"**

5                   This statement is not exactly accurate as Dr. Phillips was  
6                   intending to start surgery on another patient and had asked Drs.  
7                   McKenna and Fuller to take the patient to surgery.

8  
9                   **March 31, 2006 2:44pm**, Dr. Lo to Phillips:

10                   **"thank you very much. She needs you.**

11                   **I just worry that her stent is causing a great**

12                   **deal of reactions in the mediastinum."**

13  
14                   Apparently Dr. Lo still has not considered the possibility of a  
15                   fistula.

16                   **March 31, 2006 2:46pm**, Phillips to Lo:

17  
18                   **"Bad news"**

19                   The meaning of this last entry is unclear, but is followed by a  
20                   note to Dr. Feldman, below, indicating only McKenna was going to  
21                   handle the surgery.

22  
23                   **March 31, 2006 2:48pm**, Phillips to Feldman:

24                   **"I lined her up for surgery and asked McKenna**

25                   **to see her. Ed"**

26  
27                   Dr. Phillips' decision not to take part in Mrs. Mandler's surgery  
28                   is confirmed by the chart note of his resident, Dr. Lyass, who



1 stated at 1:30 p.m.:

2 "Pt continue to bleed. Required more transfusion.

3 Ht ↓(down) to 25. Pt needs esophagogastrrectomy. Colon

4 interposition is the preferred method of reconstruction, but in  
5 the acute setting gastric pull-up will be the right and safe choice.

6 Await consult of Dr. McKenna and Dr. C. Fuller from thoracic service.

7  
8  
9 Lyass"

10  
11  
12 This note by Dr. Lyass makes it clear that Dr. Phillips  
13 was not going to be involved in the surgery and further, that an  
14 esophagael-aortic fistula as the source of bleeding had still not  
15 been considered.

16 It also appears, based on the chart entries of Dr.  
17 Lyass and Dr. Phillips' test messages, that, other than a  
18 dictated consult, Dr. Phillips had no contact with Mrs. Mandler  
19 and, indeed, **Dr. Phillips never even examined her** as the March  
20 29, 2006, note indicates it was Dr. Lyass who performed the  
21 examination, chart review and consult.

22  
23 The failure of Dr. Phillips to personally evaluate and  
24 follow Mrs. Mandler was not only a critical departure from the  
25 standard of care, but also misled the Mandler family as well as  
26 Mrs. Mandler's other physicians into believing that she had been  
27 evaluated and was being followed by an experienced surgeon who  
28 would be involved in her care.

1 Dr. Lyass attended the Second Moscow Pirogov State  
2 Medical Institute. He became licensed to practice medicine in  
3 California on August 14, 2002, and was a resident in surgery at  
4 Cedars-Sinai when he was caring for Mrs. Mandler. None of his  
5 chart notes, order, nor the consult he dictated for Dr. Phillips  
6 discuss the probable source of the bleeding or that an esophagael  
7 fistula had been considered. Although a CT angiography was  
8 mentioned, it was never ordered or performed, nor any other  
9 diagnostic studies, at any time during the five days Mrs. Mandler  
10 was a patient.  
11

12 Dr. Phillips' apparent abandonment of Mrs. Mandler  
13 following his consult of March 29, 2006, his failure to  
14 accurately assess Mrs. Mandler's medical condition, lack of  
15 familiarity with her medical history and failure to consider and  
16 diagnose an esophageal-aortic fistula had been a mystery until a  
17 recent production of documents by counsel for Dr. McKenna. Chart  
18 notes not contained in records previously obtained from Cedars  
19 Sinai and text messages from counsel for Dr. Phillips clarified  
20 the unfortunate sequence of events.  
21

22 **Dr. McKenna:**

23 The operative report, dictated five days **after** Mrs.  
24 Mandler's death, states that Drs. Phillips and Feldman "felt that  
25 a tiny ulceration in the esophagus was the etiology of the bleed,  
26 but upon arrival to the ICU, Dr. McKenna "felt this was an  
27 emergency and that she needed to be brought to the Operating Room  
28 immediately. This could potentially be a fistula from the

1 aorta." The sequence of notes indicates this was written after  
2 Mrs. Mandler was taken to the OR at 2:30 p.m.

3           However, if Dr. McKenna did believe Mrs. Mandler had an  
4 aortoesophagael fistula, he commenced surgery completely  
5 unprepared to effectuate aortic repair, without any  
6 cardiopulmonary bypass equipment available and without the  
7 requisite experience of a cardiovascular surgeon to handle this  
8 emergency.  
9

10           The records do not support Dr. McKenna's claim that he  
11 suspected an aortoesophagael fistula prior to commencing surgery  
12 for the following reasons:

13           1) The Pre-Anesthesia assessment of Dr. Durra is the  
14 first mention of a possible esophagael-aortic fistula, that she  
15 is an anesthesia risk IV, very high risk.  
16

17           2) The Operative record indicates the surgery lasted  
18 for more than an hour and a half, from 1:30 to 1610. If an  
19 aortic fistula was truly suspected, it defies imagination that  
20 Dr. McKenna would take the time to perform a flexible  
21 bronchoscopy, flexible esophagoscopy and perform an esophagectomy  
22 before looking for the aortic fistula.

23           3) Dr. McKenna's report, dictated April 5, 2006,  
24 states:  
25

26           "...there were extensive adhesions in the chest. These  
27 were bluntly taken down quickly in an effort to get  
28 behind the lung and put pressure on the aorta.... There

1 were two brief episodes of cardiac arrest. With this  
2 resuscitation with CPR and with fluids and drugs the  
3 heart came back. Blood pressure was in the 80-90  
4 range. The dissection and the operation continued... A  
5 Median sterotomy was performed. The pericardium was  
6 opened anteriorly and laterally. There was a 7cm  
7 length of the esophagus that was inflamed, densely  
8 adherent to the aorta. Once this was mobilized off the  
9 aorta...there was a 2cm transverse fistula in the  
10 aorta. This was sutured with 4-0 Prolene. Despite  
11 these efforts the patient continued to have very  
12 unstable blood pressure. She arrested again and at  
13 this point the heart muscle was flacid. Resuscitation  
14 was not successful. The cardiac surgery service team  
15 was consulted. They felt this was not salvageable and  
16 resuscitation was discontinued.  
17  
18

19 4) In fact, this recitation is not supported by the  
20 records which indicate that:

21 **1430-1545** Mrs. Mandler's blood pressure remained a constant  
22 100/45-50 from 1430 to 1545 with sinus tachycardia.

23 **1530** Massive blood loss was noted.

24  
25 **1540** Cardio pulmonary bypass perfusion support was called for at  
26 more than **one hour** after the surgery started.

27 **1545** Dr. Kass, cardiovascular surgeon was called

28 **1550** Dr. Raissi, Director of the Thoracic Aortic Surgery Team,

1 arrived at 1550.

2 **1556** (14 minutes prior to her death) Mrs. Mandler went into  
3 ventricular fibrillation, and was defibrillated.

4  
5 **1558** (twelve minutes prior to death) Ventricular fibrillation  
6 occurred again she was defibrillated.

7 **1600** Cardiopulmonary bypass was started after the arrival of  
8 Drs. Kass and Raissi.

9 **1600** Death was pronounced.

10  
11 4) It is clear from the above sequence of events that  
12 Dr. McKenna did not anticipate an aortic fistula, the repair of  
13 which requires cardiopulmonary bypass, which wasn't even  
14 requested until one hour after the surgery began.

15 5) If Dr. McKenna was concerned about an aortic  
16 fistula, which is universally understood to be a catastrophic  
17 event, he would have been prepared to perform deep hypothermic  
18 circulatory arrest (DHCA), place *in situ* allograft for aortic  
19 replacement in association with subtotal esophagectomy, cervical  
20 esophagostomy, ligation of the abdominal esophagus, gastrostomy,  
21 and jejunostomy.

22  
23 6) There is no evidence that Dr. McKenna or any of the  
24 physicians involved in Mrs. Mandler's care, recognized the  
25 characteristic Chiari's triad features of aortoesophageal  
26 syndrome, including chest pain and sentinel hematemesis of red  
27 blood followed at a variable interval of time by exsanguinating  
28 hematemesis.

1           7) An esophagael contrast study was never performed.

2           8) Dr. McKenna performed a midline sternotomy which  
3 requires cardiopulmonary bypass to allow aortic repair and to  
4 enable displacement of the heart for resection of the esophagus  
5 by the transpericardial route. The procedure of choice for aortic  
6 fistula repair is a left thoracotomy.

7           9) Neither Endovascular graft, allograft nor Blakemore  
8 tube were requested, noted in the records or on the billing,  
9 indicating repair of the aorta was not contemplated.

10          10) Finally, aortic repair is always done first, not  
11 after esophagoscopy, bronchoscopy and esophagectomy.

12  
13  
14          It is unfortunate that Dr. McKenna did not, in fact,  
15 consider the possibility of an aortoesophagael fistula prior to  
16 the surgery which began at 230 p.m. on March 31, 2006. Not a  
17 single chart notation of Dr. McKenna, nor any other physician  
18 involved with Mrs. Mandler's care prior to surgery, bears any  
19 mention of the possibility of an aortic fistula. The first time  
20 an aortic fistula is mentioned is at 2:30 p.m. in the Pre-  
21 Anesthesia Assessment by Dr. Durra.

22          **Diagnosis of Esophagael-aortic fistula:**

23               Cedars Sinai has a **Thoracic Aortic Surgery Program** that  
24 boasts "state-of-the-art medical and surgical approaches to  
25 aortic disease", including "state-of-the-art diagnostic testing  
26 required for accurate measurement and assessment" and that "even  
27 the most difficult aortic conditions in high-risk patients yield  
28

1 to world-class innovation and the expertise in aortic surgery at  
2 Cedars-Sinai's Thoracic Aortic Surgery Program."

3 It is axiomatic that if Dr. McKenna thought Mrs.  
4 Mandler had an aortic fistula, he would have notified the  
5 Thoracic Aortic Surgery Department prior to commencing surgery.

6 **Liability of Defendants:**

7 **Dr. Metzger:**

8 1. Failure to undertake appropriate testing necessary  
9 to accurately diagnose decedent's esophagael motility disorder;

10 2. Failure to refer Mrs. Mandler to a physician who  
11 specialized in esophageal dysfunction and treatment of esophagael  
12 motility disorders. Although referral to such a specialist was  
13 considered it was never carried out;

14 3. Failure to follow Mrs. Mandler closely to ensure  
15 that the stent was immediately removed when no longer necessary,  
16 to avoid its becoming inbedded with tissue overgrowth;

17 4. Failure to have an understanding of achalasia,  
18 relapsing polychondritis and other related auto-immune disease  
19 affecting the esophagus sufficient to follow his patient.

20 5. Failure to consider psychiatric medications as the  
21 cause of the lower esophagael hypertension and adjust medications  
22 in an attempt to resolve the symptoms prior to consideration of  
23 surgical interventioin.

24 6. Failure to recognize symptoms of an aortoesophagael  
25 fistula;

26 8. Failure to order any testing necessary to determine  
27  
28

1 the source of gastric bleeding.

2 **Dr. McKenna**: Although Dr. McKenna has been severed from this action  
3 and his liability is being determined via arbitration, his involvement  
4 and share in liability relative to the other defendants follows:

5 1. Iatrogenic perforation of the esophagus in August,  
6 2004, while performing a Heller Myotomy, which procedure was not  
7 indicated, as diagnostic testing was not sufficient to accurately  
8 diagnose Mrs. Mandler's condition;

9 2. Placement of a partially covered Ultraflex stent, which  
10 was contraindicated in patients with benign disease, because it cannot  
11 be removed;

12 3. Lack of knowledge regarding stents and risks inherent in  
13 their use; incorrect placement and positioning of the stent,  
14 obstructing the esophagael sphincter, which caused chronic severe  
15 reflux due to mechanical obstruction of the sphincter by the stent;

16 4. Performance of multiple surgical procedures, resulting  
17 in damage to decedent's esophagus and vagal nerve, exacerbating the  
18 effects of the esophagael motility disorder;

19 5. Damage of the stent covering during multiple attempts at  
20 removal, which resulted in gastric acid damage to both the stent and  
21 the esophagus;

22 6. Failure to recognize the symptoms of an aortoesophageal  
23 fistula;

24 7. Failure to order any testing necessary to determine the  
25 source of gastric bleeding;

26 8. Failure to refer Mrs. Mandler to a specialist in  
27 esophageal motility disorders prior to and after the surgical  
28 procedure performed in July, 2004.



1 **Dr. Feldman:** Dr. Feldman supervised Nancy's care after the stent was  
2 placed in January, 2005, through the time of her death. He ordered  
3 diagnostic testing, medication, saw her on a regular basis and was the  
4 attending physician during her hospitalizations. Dr. Feldman was  
5 responsible for:

6 1. Failure to refer Mrs. Mandler to specialists in  
7 esophageal motility disorders. Without adequate evaluation of her  
8 symptoms, an accurate diagnosis could not be made and treatment could  
9 not be planned.

10 2. Failure to order and perform adequate diagnostic testing  
11 prior to performing serial procedures on a patient with unknown  
12 collagen-vascular and neuropathic disease.

13 3. Failure to ensure adequate treatment of the esophageal  
14 perforation was carried out, including placement of the correct stent,  
15 ensuring correct placement and that the stent was removed as soon as  
16 possible to avoid its becoming inbedded with tissue overgrowth;

17 4. Failure to have an adequate knowledge base regarding  
18 stents and risks inherent in their use, likelihood of fistula as a  
19 complication of stent placement and failure to recognize the symptoms  
20 of an aortoesophagael fistula; failure to order any testing necessary  
21 to determine the source of gastric bleeding;

22 5. failure to diagnose an esophagael fistula.

23  
24 **Dr. Lo:**

25 1. Failure to have adequate knowledge of stents and risks  
26 inherent in their use;

27 2. Repeated attempts to remove the stent, causing damage  
28 to decedent's esophagus and vagal nerve, exacerbating the effects of

1 the esophagael motility disorder;

2 3. Caused damage to the stent covering during attempted  
3 removal, permitting gastric acid to cause additional damage to both  
4 the stent and the esophagus;

5 4. Failure to recognize the symptoms of an aortoesophagael  
6 fistula;

7 5. Failure to order or recommend testing necessary to  
8 determine the source of gastric bleeding;

9 6. Failure to diagnose an esophagael fistula.

10  
11 **Boston Scientific:**

12 1. Placement of partially covered stents on the market,  
13 without adequate education, instruction and warnings to physicians  
14 utilizing those stents in persons such as decedent, who had an  
15 esophagael motility disorder.

16 2. The defective lining of the Ultraflex stent allowed  
17 severe gastric reflux to damage the patient's esophagus and the stent,  
18 leading to ulcerations and, eventually, the development of an  
19 aortoesophagael fistula. The stent carried inadequate warnings  
20 regarding the inherent risks of stent placement in patients with  
21 benign disease.

22  
23 **Edward Philips, M.D.**

24 1. Following evaluation of Mrs. Mandler for "elective"  
25 colon resection and esophagael reconstruction, defendant  
26 abandoned Mrs. Mandler and delegated her care to a surgical  
27 trainee who lacked the requisite experience and education to  
28 follow a patient such as Nancy Mandler.

1           2. Failure to consider and recognize the development of  
2 ulcerations and fistulas as a result of the stent placement.

3           3. Failure to consider the probability of an  
4 aortoesophagael fistula, given a history of multiple attempts to  
5 remove the stent, damage to the stent, tissue damage and trauma  
6 to Mrs. Mandler's esophagus on multiple occasions in the  
7 preceding two years, with recent symptoms of GI bleeding and  
8 bloody emesis increasing in frequency and amount, severe anemia  
9 and hypotension.

10           4. Failure to order diagnostic testing sufficient to  
11 diagnose the source of bleeding prior to commencing an  
12 esophagectomy procedure.

13           5. Failure to adequately evaluate Mrs. Mandler and  
14 failure to order diagnostic testing sufficient to diagnose the  
15 cause of her hemodynamic instability.

16           6. Failure to personally examine and evaluate a  
17 critically ill patient, delegating her care to an inexperienced  
18 surgery resident.

19  
20  
21 **ECONOMIC LOSSES:**

22           For three decades, Nancy Sackett Mandler was a  
23 television writer and producer of movies-of-the-week, dramatic  
24 specials, pilot and feature films for NBC, ABC, PBS, Disney, CBS,  
25 Warner Brothers and Lifetime Television. She was best known for  
26 *Skyward*, a Hallmark Special directed by Ron Howard, and *The*  
27 *Ring*, based on a Danielle Steele novel. She also wrote the ABC  
28

1 mini-series, Charles and Diana, and Beverly Hills Madam which  
2 were two of broadcast networks' highest rated movies. My Darlin'  
3 Clementine, written for Showtime Theater, won the ACE Cable Award  
4 for outstanding writing. She wrote a novel, *That Day Again*, and  
5 three plays that were performed at the Odyssey Theater. She was  
6 a professor at both the USC School of Cinematic Arts and the  
7 American Film Institute. Following her death, the Nancy Sackett-  
8 Mandler Memorial Scholarship was established and was regarded was  
9 one of the highest honors that could be awarded by the American  
10 Film Institute. She established the Storytellers series for  
11 inner city children and was a member of several writers'  
12 associations.

13  
14 Prior to the iatrogenic injury by Dr. McKenna, she was  
15 earning \$45,000 per year and loss of her earnings is estimated at  
16 \$450,000, plus cost of living and merit increases.  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

Dated: June 9, 2008

CONTOS & BUNCH  
A Professional Corporation

By: \_\_\_\_\_  
JOHN R. CONTOS  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
ROBERT MANDLER, ANTHONY  
MANDLER, MARISA MANDLER and  
ELIZABETH MANDLER